Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/913,892	BECK, RONALD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phillip A Johnston	2881
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Phillip A Johnston</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kevin Kercher</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Non Final dated 4/3/2003		
Claims discussed: 1, 5, and 16		
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. Patent No. 4,636,475		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writter e record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
DOWN R. LEE		
A CONTRACTOR AND		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Applicants representative agreed that addition of the statement "the first and the second container both have a septum, the septum of the second container during use being situated at least partly over the septum of the first container, both septa being pierceable approximately simultaneously by a needle." overcomes the prior art discussed...

SUPERVISOR FUTER OF STATES